



The two schemes you have seen represent viable, deliverable schemes which involve trade-offs. These schemes demonstrate different approaches to access, green space, retail and parking, and your responses will define how you use Salisbury Square in the future.

With this in mind, please indicate your preferences by answering the questions below. If you have any other questions or comments, please email Peter at p.mitchell@gascoyneplaces.org.

Thank you for taking the time to complete this survey.

Green Space

The existing green space in the square is underused. We can either keep the footprint the same and improve its quality, or replace some or all of it with paved, shared use space. What would you prefer?

- a. Green space is vital to the setting of the square. The amount of green space must not change.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

- b. Green space in the square is unnecessary. It should be paved so it can be used for other things.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

- c. It would be good to remove some of the green space, allowing the square to be used more flexibly while improving what remains.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Retail Space

The retail units will house a variety of businesses. These will serve the needs of the local community, railway commuters and passing trade as well as more niche destination stores with an online presence. The location and composition of the shops may affect the feeling of the square and wider area.

- a. The retail frontage should face towards the station.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

- b. The retail frontage should face into the square.

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree

Access

We must consider the way in which we access the square. Keeping the square as a pedestrian-only space may reduce passing trade, while allowing vehicles into the square will change its character.

- a. The square should remain pedestrianised.

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Disagree			Strongly Agree	

- b. A road should be built to allow slow-moving traffic between Park Street and Salisbury Square Car Park.

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Disagree			Strongly Agree	

- c. Some vehicular access is important, but a through-road is inappropriate.

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Disagree			Strongly Agree	

Parking

Parking is an important issue, and it must be noted that any scheme will meet parking standards. We must also consider the future in planning for parking capacity.

- a. Parking provision in the square should be maximised and prioritised above all else.

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Disagree			Strongly Agree	

- b. We must think about future transport needs, considering electric vehicle charging points and car clubs, even if this requires other compromises.

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Disagree			Strongly Agree	

- c. The square should be kept free of parking to maximise its use as a public space.

1	2	3	4	5
Strongly Disagree			Strongly Agree	

Please let us know any other thoughts, comments or questions, here:

Optional - Please provide your contact details for further information about the project:

Name:

Email: